

Koch, Kristine

From: Koch, Kristine
Sent: Friday, December 19, 2014 1:33 PM
To: Barbara Smith; Conley, Alanna
Cc: 'Jim McKenna'; 'Bob Wyatt'; 'Jennifer Woronets'
Subject: RE: CAG FS process

Barbara – Thanks for sending this description of our conversation. Generally you have captured our conversation, but I have just one clarification with Bullet 1: EPA will provide the proposed final versions of the FS sections to the CAG. We appreciate the LWG's willingness to assist EPA in 2015 to help the CAG better understand technical aspects of the FS how their questions have been addressed.

Happy Holidays to you, too.

Kristine Koch
Remedial Project Manager
USEPA, Office of Environmental Cleanup

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, M/S ECL-115
Seattle, Washington 98101-3140

(206)553-6705
(206)553-0124 (fax)
1-800-424-4372 extension 6705 (M-F, 8-4 Pacific Time, only)

From: Barbara Smith [mailto:barbara@harrisandsmith.com]
Sent: Friday, December 19, 2014 11:15 AM
To: Koch, Kristine; Conley, Alanna
Cc: 'Jim McKenna'; 'Bob Wyatt'; 'Jennifer Woronets'
Subject: CAG FS process

Kristine

Thanks so much for your call this morning. I just wanted to reiterate the LWG's willingness to work with EPA in 2015 to help the CAG better understand how their questions have been addressed in the FS.

Please let me know if I have correctly captured our discussion –

- EPA will be sending the CAG the FS sections and supporting tables and figures at the same time they are sent to the LWG (as was the case with Section 1 yesterday).
- Rather than sending the color-coded response matrix - EPA will be reaching out to the CAG for a more individual meeting to go over how their initial comments and questions (based on the Peter DeFur/CAG questions sent to EPA about the draft FS) were addressed in the revised FS sections.
- That type of smaller meeting is where the CAG can ask for EPA to prepare a specific technical or topic related presentation to the CAG at its larger monthly meetings (beginning in February 2015).
- The LWG is welcome to participate in those smaller meetings if that is what the CAG wants – the LWG should make ourselves available anytime our work is being discussed.
- It is understood that the LWG and EPA may have differences on technical issues and respectfully discussing those differences is not a problem and something can help the CAG better understand the complex issues at the site.

- The LWG can be available to support the monthly CAG technical-topic discussions as necessary.

Again, thanks so much for the call and I look forward to working with your team to help with public involvement for the PH site.

Happy Holidays!

Barbara

Barbara J. Smith
Harris & Smith Public Affairs
(206) 343-0250 – office
(206) 605-3392 - cell
barbara@harrisandsmith.com
www.harrisandsmith.com

This electronic message transmission contains information that is a confidential and/or privileged work product prepared in anticipation of litigation. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us.